



Impact assessment, v1

I. Guidelines

1. Context

The evaluation report is provided September 2018 at the end of the Nordic – Russian NGO project, entitled: Nordic and Russian NGOs building bridges, April 2017 – Sept 2018.

2. The aim

is to provide feeds for our impact evaluation, where we assess the extent to which the project has achieved its intended effects and other effects it may have had on the project's participants or the environment, and it reveals whether the project did what it was designed to do, and provides recommendations for future sustainable activities in the field.

3. Methodology

In a standard impact evaluation we would try to involve a wide range of respondent groups from the main type of stakeholders by using questionnaires for quantitative feeds as well as interviews with a minor group of representative stakeholders .

However this is not realistic to do or needed in this context. Instead we can as the key stakeholders make our own assessments of the outcome and present it in a small assessment report.

4. Topics of report

1. Why did you join the project: Background - own experiences and interests for Nordic – Russian cooperation.
2. Present your main activities in the project including the challenges to provide them!
3. Outcome for your own organisation: Degree of changed motivation for and know-how and ability to be involved in future Nordic – Russian cooperation. Will such cross-border cooperation be embedded in your organisation and be a priority for your organisation's future tasks plans?
4. Outcome for other NGOs in your country: Did other NGOs in your country get involved and motivated for increased Nordic – Russian cooperation?
5. Outcome for other NGOs in the Nordic (or Northwest Russian) region: Did NGOs from other Nordic countries outside the partner countries (Other Northwest Russian regions outside the Arkhangelsk and Pskov Regions) get involved and motivated for increased Nordic – Russian cooperation?
6. Outcome for other key stakeholders, such as political decision-makers: Did the project have any impact on the positions or involvement of political decision-makers etc from your country and region?

5. Amount of text

Max 1/3 page per question, in total max 2 pages.

6. Deadline

for sending your own 1-2 page outcome assessment is 8 October 2018

II. Assessments

1. Why did you join the project?

Present your Background - own experiences and interests for Nordic – Russian cooperation

Our organization is a resource center for NGOs in the Pskov region and has a long history of relations with the Council of Ministers.

We consider it important to develop partnerships with Scandinavian countries and involve other Russian NGOs in this cooperation.

2. Your main activities in the project?

Present your main activities in the project including the challenges to provide them.

Project partner and coordinator of the project in the Pskov region.

3. Outcome for your own organisation?

Present possible changed motivation for and know-how and ability to be involved in future Nordic – Russian cooperation. Will such cross-border cooperation be embedded in your organisation and be a priority for your organisation's future tasks plans?

Our organization has received a positive working experience in the project with Scandinavian partners. We also had the opportunity to support other partnership projects within the framework of the Council of Ministers competition (however, these projects did not receive funding).

This project allowed to resume cooperation with Scandinavian NGOs after a long break.

4. Outcome for other NGOs in your country?

Did other NGOs in your country get involved and motivated for increased Nordic – Russian cooperation?

More than 20 NGOs from the Pskov region registered on the project's website and expressed a desire to start cooperation with NCOs of Scandinavian countries.

5. Outcome for other NGOs in the Nordic (or Northwest Russian) region?

Did NGOs from other Nordic countries outside DK, FI, IS (Other Northwest Russian regions outside the Arkhangelsk and Pskov Regions) get involved and motivated for increased Nordic – Russian cooperation?

At the events held by our organization, we constantly talked about the possibility of participating in partnership programs. Information about this spread outside the Pskov region.

6. Outcome for other key stakeholders, such as political decision-makers?

Did the project have any impact on the positions or involvement of political decision-makers etc from your country and region?

Decision-makers were informed of the project's implementation and of its capabilities and results.

Thank you for your time!